



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/663,151 | 09/15/2000 | Bradley J. Swearingen | 020425-117300US | 2668 |
| 20350 7590 01/25/2011 KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3695 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 01/25/2011 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. 09/663,151 | Applicant(s) SWEARINGEN ET AL. |
| Examiner Narayanswamy Subramanian | | Art Unit 3695 | |

All Participants:

(1) Narayanswamy Subramanian.

(2) Hal Yeager (Reg. No. 35,419).

Date of Interview: 20 January 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: After BPAI decision

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 8:00 PM (EST)

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

99-114

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Subramanian asked Mr. Yeager if he wanted to cancel claims 99-114, in view of the BPAI decision, so that the remaining claims in the application may be allowed. Mr. Yeager gave the Examiner permission to cancel claims 99-114 by Examiner's amendment.